

## REMARKS

The outstanding Office Action of October 20, 2005 rejects claims 1 through 6 and 8 through 13 under 35 U.S.C. 102 and claims 7 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. 103. In addition, claims 5 through 10 and claim 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112. The application has been amended in response to the Examiner's comments and is now believed to be in condition for allowance.

Specifically, claims 5, 7 and 13 have been amended to overcome the Section 112 rejection.

Regarding the prior art rejection, Applicant submits herewith a Declaration swearing behind the cited references. In particular, Applicants' invention as defined in the claims was invented more than twelve (12) months prior to the filing of Crutchfield.

In view of the amendments contained herein and the discussion in support thereof, allowance of this application is respectfully requested.

Notwithstanding, in the event that this response does not completely and fully address the matters and issues set forth in the outstanding Office Action, Examiner Rines is invited to contact Applicant's attorney by telephone in order to expeditiously conclude this prosecution.

Respectfully submitted,



ARTHUR W. FISHER, III  
Registration No. 26,453

AWF:dmas  
5553 West Waters Ave. #316  
Tampa, Florida 33634-1212  
(813) 885-2006  
Date: April 20, 2006